Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") for Gold Reach Resources Ltd. (the "Company" or "GRV") is dated February 22, 2013 and provides information on The Company's activities for the nine months ended December 31, 2012 and to the date of this report. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended December 31, 2012 and the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2012.

Business of the Company

The Company was incorporated in November 1965 and is engaged in the exploration and development of mineral properties hosting copper, gold, silver and molybdenum prospects located in central British Columbia. The Company continues to evaluate acquisition of additional mineral interests in Canada.

Overall Performance

EXPLORATION

Ootsa Property

The Company presently has 47,559 hectares comprised of 78 claims in its Ootsa Property which contains the Seel and Ox deposits.

From June 15 to October 21, 2011, The Company completed a substantial exploration program at the Ootsa property including 10,393.4 metres of core drilling in 20 holes at the Seel deposit. This drilling has substantially expanded the depth and strike length of the known mineralization at Seel, and has identified the West Seel deposit, a new zone of copper-gold-molybdenum-silver mineralization on the west side of the system. Three deep holes tested the Cu-Au zone during the 2011 drilling program (holes S11-82, S11-88, and S11-90) and all 3 intersected long intervals of continuous mineralization with the zone remaining open at depth. This is highlighted by hole S11-90 which intersected 764.5 metres of continuous mineralization grading 0.17% Cu and 0.2 g/t Au (0.32% Cu Eq.). Holes drilled within the centre of the Cu-Au zone all intersected a higher grade zone at surface highlighted by hole S11-90 which intersected 194 metres grading 0.37% Cu and 0.42 g/t Au from 28 to 222 metres depth.

Holes 91, 95, and 97 to 100 have all intersected Cu-Au-Mo-Ag bearing porphyry style mineralization at the West Seel deposit. All of the holes into the West Seel zone have returned long intercepts of continuous mineralization highlighted by hole S11-100 which returned 567 metres grading 0.25% Cu, 0.17 g/t Au, 0.028% Mo, and 3.4 g/t Ag (0.51% Cu Eq.).

During July 2011, 44.5 line kms of 3-D IP was completed over the northern part of the claim block and resulted in a number of new anomalies being identified. Several of these anomalies have been followed up with surface mapping and soil sampling. Over 1500 soil samples have been taken, and the results have been compiled with surface mapping and geophysical results to prioritize targets for drill testing in 2012. Soil sampling at the Ox deposit has identified a copper in soil anomaly that is over 800m long by 250m wide that coincides with a historic IP chargeability anomaly.

In January 2012 a resource update was completed on the Seel deposit by Giroux Consultants Ltd. and was based on 28,294 meters of drilling in 100 holes. The updated resource estimate contains a 400% increase in resources. At a 0.2% Cu Eq cut off the Seel deposit contains an indicated resource of 28.13 million

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

tonnes grading 0.22% Cu, 0.21 g/t Au, 0.007% Mo and 1.1 g/t Ag (0.40% Cu Eq) plus an inferred resource of 214.78 million tonnes grading 0.17% Cu, 0.13 g/t Au, 0.017% Mo, and 2.17 g/t Ag (0.33% Cu Eq). The majority of the resource sits in the inferred category reflecting the widely spaced nature of the deep drilling and step out holes completed during the 2011 drill program. This resource contains 805 million pounds of copper in the inferred category plus 136 million pounds of copper in the indicated category along with 897,694 ounce of gold in the inferred category.

In May 2012 GRV started a 25,000 metre drilling program at the Ootsa Property aimed at expanding and defining the recently discovered West Seel deposit, and investigating the resource expansion potential at Ox. The drilling program was very successful and was significantly expanded and a total of 45,157 metres were drilled in 2012. During 2012, to December 31, 2012, 38,627.8 meters of drilling in 46 holes were drilled at West Seel, and 6,529 metres of drilling in 21 holes have been completed at Ox and at targets surrounding the Ox deposit. Highlights from drilling hole S12-101 which intersected 817 metres grading 0.20% Cu, 0.21 grams per tonne (g/t) Au, 0.026% Mo, and 2.24 g/t Ag (0.45% Cu Eq.) from 262 metres to the end of the hole at 1079 meters, including 194 metres of higher grade mineralization grading 0.22% Cu, 0.47 g/t Au, 0.040% Mo, and 1.85 g.t Ag (0.71% Cu Eg.) from 584 to 778 metres depth. Hole S12-118 also drilled at West Seel intersected 537 metres grading 0.27% copper, 0.19 g/t gold, 0.055% molybdenite, and 2.69 g/t silver (0.65% copper equivalent) from 350 to 887 metre depth, and the hole ended in mineralization. Included within this interval is a higher grade zone containing 0.43% copper, 0.33 g/t gold, 0.076% molybdenite and 4.76 g/t silver (1.01% copper equivalent) over 128 metres. In addition to drilling the company has completed 42.9 km of reconnaissance induced polarization survey which has identified 2 new chargeability targets, one located east of Seel, and one located east of Ox. Approximately 2176 new soil samples have also been taken across the property, greatly extending the area of soil coverage. Two large samples from West Seel drill core and one sample from the Seel Cu-Au zone have been sent for detailed metallurgy, the results are still pending.

Auro Property

On March 21, 2012 the Company sold its 100% interest in the Auro and Auro South properties to New Gold Inc. for \$6,000,000 cash. The Company retains a 2% net smelter returns royalty on the Claims and New Gold has committed to spend Cdn. \$1,500,000 on exploration expenditures on the Claims over the next 3 years.

Mineral Properties

The Company owns the following two contiguous claim groups collectively known as the Ootsa Property:

Seel Property

100% interest in the Seel Property, located in central British Columbia, comprised of 25 claims totalling 11,858 hectares. Of these 25 claims, 17 claims totalling 8,466 hectares are subject to a 1% Net Smelter Return ("NSR"). The purchase agreement with the vendor, Rupert Seel, has no buyout provision entitling the Company to purchase any portion or all of the 1% NSR. The remaining 8 mineral claims were acquired by staking and accordingly there is no NSR payable. In January 2013 the Company staked 1 additional claim comprised of 1,479 hectares thereby bringing the overall totals to 26 claims totaling 13,337 hectares.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

Ox Property

■ 100% interest in the Ox property, located in central British Columbia, comprised of 38 mineral claims totalling 10,868 hectares. Of these 38 mineral claims, 14 claims totalling 575 hectares are subject to a 2% NSR. The purchase agreement with the vendor, Silver Standard Resources Inc.,("Silver") entitles the Company to purchase a 1% portion of the 2% NSR from Silver at any time by the payment to Silver of \$500,000. The Company may purchase the remaining 1% NSR at any time by payment to Silver of an additional \$1,000,000. In November 2012 the Company an additional 13 mineral claims totalling 22,875 hectares were acquired by staking and accordingly there is no NSR payable. In February 2013, the Company staked 1 additional claim comprised of 479 hectares thereby bringing the overall totals to 52 claims totaling 34,222 hectares.

There is now a total of 78 contiguous claims owned by the Company, totalling 47,559 hectares in the Seel and Ox properties.

GOLD REACH RESOURCES LTD. Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

(a) Mineral Property Costs for the Nine Months Ended December 31, 2012

		Seel	Ох	Auro	Total
Property acquisition costs					
Balance, beginning of the period	\$	326,347 \$	280,000 \$	- \$	606,347
Cash costs	Y	40,299	200,000	- -	40,299
		10,233			10,233
Balance, end of the period		366,646	280,000	-	646,646
Deferred exploration and					
development costs					
Balance, beginning of the period		6,814,805	908,842	22,500	7,746,147
Incurred during the period:					
Drilling, blasting and trenching		4,421,201	-	-	4,421,201
Barge		75,653	-	-	75,653
Geology		280,092	-	-	280,092
Geophysics		82,522	-	-	82,522
Consulting fees		15,561	-	-	15,561
Field costs		709,090	-	-	709,090
Reclamation bond		-		(22,500)	(22,500)
Travel		32,274	-	-	32,274
Assaying		753,284	-	-	753,284
Camp costs		257,520	-	-	257,520
Roads		109,914	-	-	109,914
Fuel		328,725	-	-	328,725
Insurance		6,789	-	-	6,789
Mapping		59,300	-	-	59,300
BC tax credit refund		(5,187)	-	-	(5,187)
Wages and related expenses		654,127	-	-	654,127
Total expenditures during the period		7,780,865	-	(22,500)	7,758,365
Total expenditures, end of the period		14,595,670	908,842	-	15,504,512
Balance, end of the period	\$	14,962,316 \$	1,188,842 \$	- \$	16,151,158

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

Exploration and Evaluation Expenditures - during the year ended March 31, 2012 the Company incurred the following resource property costs:

	See	el	Ох	Au	ro	Au	ro South	Total
Property acquisition costs								
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 32	5,750	\$ 280,000	\$ 162	2,800	\$	3,164	\$ 771,714
Cash		597	-		-		-	597
Shares		-	-		-		-	-
Less: Sale of Auro and Auro South		-	-	(162	2,800)		(3,164)	(165,964)
Balance, end of the year	32	6,347	280,000		-		-	606,347
Deferred exploration and								
development costs								
Balance, beginning of the year	4,15	6,102	832,516	1,08	9,421		-	6,078,039
Incurred during the year:								
Drilling, blasting and trenching	1,15	9,578	-	40	9,296		-	1,568,874
Barge	5	52,926	-		-		-	52,926
Geology	23	5,647	-	8	0,665		7,362	323,674
Geophysics	11	5,618	-	3	8,024		145,391	299,033
Consulting fees	7	2,010	-	1	0,500		-	82,510
Field costs	37	8,431	-	12	1,370		-	499,801
Reclamation bond	ϵ	50,000	-	1	7,500		-	77,500
Travel and accommodation	2	21,275	-		7,898		-	29,173
Assaying	24	1,249	-	2	0,086		-	261,335
Camp costs	22	9,520	-	8	2,027		-	311,547
Fuel	10	8,092	-	4	6,932		-	155,024
Insurance		3,585	-		1,552		-	5,137
Safety		-	-		783		-	783
Wages	10	3,211	-	11	3,733		-	216,944
Mapping		-	-	1	1,081		-	11,081
B.C. mining tax credit	(15	7,859)	76,326		-		-	(81,533
Other	3	35,420	-	4	0,627		14,372	90,419
Total expenditures during the year	2,65	8,703	76,326	1,00	2,074		167,125	3,904,228
Less: Sale of Auro and Auro South		-	-	(2,10	2,970)	((167,125)	(2,270,095
Total net expenditures, end of the								
year	6,81	4,805	908,842	2	2,500		-	7,746,147
Balance, end of the year	\$ 7,14	1,152	\$ 1,188,842	\$ 2	2,500	\$	-	\$ 8,352,494

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

FINANCIAL CONDITION, RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND CASH FLOWS

The Company's working capital as at December 31, 2012 was \$2,513,126 (March 31, 2012 – \$6,273,222). All comparative 2011 amounts are for the three months ended December 31, 2011. Administrative expenditures for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were \$392,389 (2011 – \$171,840). These increased administration expenditures reflect the heightened activity level at the Company's exploration programs on its mineral properties. The share based payments were \$147,030 (2011 - \$nil) reflecting the granting of stock options during the three months ended December 31, 2012. Management costs were \$114,062 (2011 - \$56,741) and professional fees were \$37,184 (2011 - \$17,347) reflecting the increases in the exploration activities during the period. Travel and promotion costs were \$32,874 (2011 - \$42,157) and investor relations were \$29,971 (2011 - \$35,028).

Net cash flow from investing activities for the nine months ended December 31, 2012 was \$4,845,792 (2011 - \$3,100,111). Expenditures on the Company's mineral interests during the nine months ended December 31, 2012 was \$7,780,865 (2011 - \$3,915,718). Purchases of equipment and related camp assets was \$202,791 (2011 - \$51,490).

Selected Quarterly Information

The following table provides selected financial information of the Company for each of the last eight quarters:

2012	2011

Basis of presentation						
Quarter ended:						
Income (Loss)						
Income (Loss) per share: basic						
Weighted average of shares issued						
Total Assets Long-Term Liabilities						

ı									
	IFRS	IFRS	IFRS	IFRS IFRS		IFRS	IFRS	IFRS	
	31-Dec	30-Sep	30-Jun	31-Mar	Dec.31	30-Sep	30-Jun	31-Mar	
	(\$392,389)	(\$191,454)	(\$673,998)	\$2,484,369	(\$171,840)	(\$325,506)	(\$200,076)	(\$282,155)	
	(\$0.01)	(\$0.01)	(\$0.03)	\$0.13	(\$0.01)	(\$0.02)	(\$0.01)	\$0.02	
	27,161,687	23,828,128	23,603,061	19,488,188	20,573,901	17,856,741	15,576,545	11.542.381	
	\$19,161,674	\$17,147,582	\$16,898,695	\$14,913,165	, ,	\$10,598,850	\$8,921,246	\$8,594,469	
	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As an exploration stage company, the Company's liquidity position decreases as mineral exploration and evaluation expenditures plus administrative expenses are incurred. To mitigate this liquidity risk, the Company budgets both exploration and administrative expenditures and closely monitors its liquidity position. The Company's cash position as at December 31, 2012 was \$2,356,505 (March 31, 2012 - \$6,280,738). The Company intends, if possible, to raise further financing by way of equity issuances or private loans in order to meet its exploration and working capital requirements as and when needed.

During the nine months ended December 31, 2012 the Company issued 2,211,075 common shares as part of private placement financings for gross proceeds of \$2,809,381, 119,000 common shares arising from the exercise of stock options for gross proceeds of \$62,000 and 3,455,498 common shares arising from the exercise of share purchase warrants for gross proceeds of \$2,095,799.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

All financial instruments, including derivatives, are included on the Company's balance sheet and measured at either fair value or amortized cost.

The Company's financial assets consist of cash, cash equivalents and other receivables. The Company's financial liabilities consist of trade accounts payable and other liabilities, promissory notes payable and due to related parties, which are designated as other financial liabilities and measured at amortized cost. The carrying values of the Company's financial instruments measured at amortized costs approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature.

The Company does not have any instruments measured at fair value.

The types of the risk exposure and the ways in which such exposures are managed are provided as follows

Foreign Exchange Risk

Foreign exchange risk is the risk that the fair value of future cash flows will fluctuate as a result of changes in foreign exchange rates. As at December 31, 2012, the Company holds no foreign currencies and is not exposed to any currency risk arising from fluctuation in foreign exchange rates.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation. The Company's cash and receivables are exposed to credit risk. Given the minimal amounts of these instruments, The Company is not exposed to any significant credit risk as at December 31, 2012.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. Included in the loss for the year in the consolidated financial statements is interest income on Canadian dollar cash. As at December 31, 2012, the Company is exposed to an interest rate risk on the interest income on its cash and cash equivalents. After taking into account the expected consumption of a portion of the principal from the internal funding of ongoing operations and expected exploration and evaluation expenditures The Company considers its interest rate risk as minimal and immaterial and does not enter into any interest rate hedging contracts.

The Company has no interest-bearing debt with long-term maturities and therefore considers this risk to be immaterial. The Company does not use derivative instruments to reduce its interest rate risk as the Company's management believes that the likely financial impact of interest rate changes does not justify using derivatives.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will encounter difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liability. The Company manages liquidity risk by maintaining sufficient cash balances to enable settlement of transactions on the due date. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are current. The Company addresses its liquidity through equity financing obtained through the sale of common shares and the exercise of warrants and options. Additional capital was successfully obtained during the period to increase liquidity.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

SHARE DATA

Subsequent to December 31, 2012, an additional 240,000 share purchase warrants were exercised at \$0.60 per share and resulted in proceeds of \$144,000 to the Company, an additional 38,800 stock options were exercised at \$0.50 per share and resulted in proceeds of \$19,400 to the Company. As of February 22, 2013, the Company had 30,597,257 common shares issued and outstanding, 2,745,867 options issued and outstanding and 6,284,408 share purchase warrants issued and outstanding and 18,750 agent share purchase warrants issued and outstanding (fully diluted – 39,646,282).

Related Party Transactions

During the nine months ended December 31, 2012, with comparative amounts for the nine months ended December 31, 2011, the following related party disbursements were recorded:

- i) Management and administration fees of \$127,000 (2011- \$136,537) were paid to directors or officers of the Company or to companies controlled by directors or officers of the Company and were expensed.
- ii) Mineral property consulting fees of \$163,500 (2011 \$59,000) were paid to directors or officers of the Company or to companies controlled by directors or officers of the Company.
- iii) Legal fees of \$24,785 (2011 not applicable) were paid to a professional law firm in which a director is a principal.
- iv) Professional administration fees of \$24,300 (2011 \$nil) were paid to directors or officers of the Company or to companies controlled by directors or officers of the Company
- v) Director fees of \$24,000 (2011 \$Nil) were paid to non management directors.

The above transactions, occurring in the normal course of operations, are measured at the exchange amount which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements and does not contemplate such arrangements in the foreseeable future. There are no contingent liabilities.

Critical Accounting estimates

The Company makes estimates and assumptions about the future that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. Estimates and judgments are continually evaluated based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. In the future, actual experience may differ from these estimates and assumptions. The effect of a change in an accounting estimate is recognized prospectively by including it in comprehensive income in the period of the change, if the change affects that period only, or in the period of the change and future periods, if the change affects both.

Information about critical judgments in applying accounting policies that have the most significant risk of causing material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities recognized in the financial statements within the next financial year are discussed below:

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

(a) Rehabilitation Provisions

Rehabilitation provisions have been created based on the Company's internal estimates. Assumptions, based on the current economic environment, have been made which management believes are a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the future liability. These estimates take into account any material changes to the assumptions that occur when reviewed regularly by management. Estimates are reviewed annually and are based on current regulatory requirements. Significant changes in estimates of contamination, restoration standards and techniques will result in changes to provisions from period to period.

Actual rehabilitation costs will ultimately depend on future market prices for the rehabilitation costs which will reflect the market conditions at the time of the rehabilitation costs are actually incurred.

At December 31, 2012, the Company did not have any significant rehabilitation provisions.

(b) Exploration and Evaluation Expenditures

The application of the Company's accounting policy for exploration and evaluation expenditure requires judgment in determining whether it is likely that future economic benefits will flow to the Company, which may be based on assumptions about future events or circumstances. Estimates and assumptions made may change if new information becomes available. If, after expenditure is capitalized, information becomes available suggesting that the recovery of expenditure is unlikely, the amount capitalized is written off in the profit or loss in the period the new information becomes available.

(c) Title to Mineral Property Interests

Although the Company has taken steps to verify title to mineral properties in which it has an interest, these procedures do not guarantee the Company's title. Such properties may be subject to prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects.

(d) Share-based Payment Transactions

The Company measures the cost of equity-settled transactions with employees by reference to the fair value of the equity instruments at the date at which they are granted. Estimating fair value for share-based payment transactions requires determining the most appropriate valuation model, which is dependent on the terms and conditions of the grant. This estimate also requires determining the most appropriate inputs to the valuation model including the expected life of the share option, volatility and dividend yield and making assumptions about them. The assumptions and models used for estimating fair value for share-based payment transactions are disclosed in Note 9 (f) of the unaudited condensed consolidated interim Financial Statements for the nine months ended December 31, 2012.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

Future Accounting Policies

The Company has reviewed new and revised accounting pronouncements that have been issued but are not yet effective and determined that the following may have an impact on the Company:

Effective for The Company's annual reporting period beginning April 1, 2012:

- IFRS 9, Financial Instruments, Classification and Measurement. The Company anticipates
 that the adoption of this standard will have no material impact except for additional
 disclosures.
- IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements, replaces the guidance on control and consolidation in IAS 27, Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements, and SIC-12, Consolidation Special Purpose Entities. IFRS 10 changes the definition of control under IFRS so that the same criteria are applied to all entities to determine control. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this standard will have no material impact.
- IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements, replaces IAS 31, Interests in Joint Ventures. IFRS 11 reduces the types of joint arrangements to two: joint ventures and joint operations. IFRS 11 requires the use of equity accounting for interests in joint ventures, eliminating the existing policy choice of proportionate consolidation for jointly controlled entities under IAS 31. Entities that participate in joint operations will follow accounting much like that for jointly controlled assets and jointly controlled operations under IAS 31. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this standard will have no material impact.
- IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities, sets out the disclosure requirements for entities reporting under IFRS 10 and IFRS 11, and replaces the disclosure requirements currently found in IAS 28, Investments in Associates. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this standard will have no material impact.
- IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement, this new standard sets out a framework for measuring fair value and the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements.
- There have been amendments to existing standards, including IAS 27, Separate Financial Statements (IAS 27), and IAS 28, Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures (IAS 28).
 IAS 27 addresses accounting for subsidiaries jointly controlled entities and associates in non-consolidated financial statements. IAS 28 has been amended to include joint ventures in its scope and to address the changes in IFRS 10- 13.
- IAS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements, has been amended to require entities to separate items presented in OCI into two groups, based on whether or not items may be recycled in the future. Entities that choose to present OCI items before tax will be required to show the amount of tax related to the two groups separately. The amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after July 1, 2012 with earlier application permitted.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In connection with National Instrument 52-109 (Certificate of Disclosure in Issuer's Annual and Interim Filings) ("NI 52-109") the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company have filed a Venture Issuer Basic Certificate with respect to the financial information contained in the audited consolidated financial statements and this accompanying interim MD&A (together the "Interim Filings").

In contrast to the full certificate under NI 52-109, the Venture Issuer Basic Certificate does not include representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, as defined in NI 52-109. For further information the reader should refer to the Venture Issuer Basic Certificates filed by The Company with the Interim Filings on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

Disclosure for Venture Issuers without Significant Revenue

Consistent with other junior companies in the mineral exploration industry, the Company has no source of operating revenue. The Company's unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the nine months ended December 31, 2012 and the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2012 provide a breakdown of the general and administrative expenses for the year under review and an analysis of the capitalized and expensed exploration and evaluation incurred on its mineral properties.

Forward-Looking Information

This MD&A contains certain forward-looking statements and information relating to the Company that is based on the beliefs of its management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to the Company. When used in this document, the words "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect" and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. This MD&A contains forward-looking statements relating to, amongst other things, regulatory compliance, the sufficiency of current working capital, the estimated cost and availability of funding for the continued exploration of the Company's properties. Such statements reflect the current views of the Company with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties, and assumptions. Many factors could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Aside from factors identified in the interim MD&A, additional, important factors, if any, are identified here.

Risks and Uncertainties

Early Stage - Need for Additional Funds

The Company has no history of profitable operations and its present business is at an early stage. As such, the Company is subject to many risks common to such enterprises, including undercapitalization, cash shortages and limitations with respect to personnel, financial and other resources and the lack of revenues. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in achieving a return on shareholders' investments and the likelihood of success must be considered in light of its early stage of operations.

The Company has no source of operating cash flow and no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further exploration and development of its projects when required.

Although the Company has been successful in the past in obtaining financing though the sale of equity securities or joint ventures, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of such financing will be favourable. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in the delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of its properties.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

Exploration and Evaluation

Exploration for minerals is a speculative venture involving substantial risk. There is no certainty that the expenditures made by the Company and/or its Subsidiary will result in discoveries of commercial mineral reserves.

Mining and development risk always accompany anticipated rewards, and uncertainties always exist where mineral properties are concerned. Uncertainties include the size, grade and recovery of a natural occurring mineral deposit. Although exploration and development efforts can outline a mineral deposit with a degree of certainty, ultimate grade and tonnages are never fully known until mining has been completed.

Metal and prices are also a significant factor in the development decision for a mineral property, as a mine may not be economically feasible in a period of depressed prices. Factors, beyond the control of The Company may affect the marketability of any minerals discovered. Pricing is affected by numerous factors such as international economic and political trends, global or regional consumption and demand patterns, and increased production by current producers.

Operating Hazards and Risks

Mining operations involve many risks, which even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome. In the course of exploration, development and production of mineral properties, certain risks, and in particular, unexpected or unusual geological operating conditions including rock bursts, cave-ins, fires, flooding and earthquakes may occur. Operations in which the Company has a direct or indirect interest will be subject to all the hazards and risks normally incidental to exploration, development and production metals, any of which could result in damage to or destruction of mines and other producing facilities, damage to life and property, environmental damage and possible legal liability for any or all damage.

Title Risks

Although the Company has exercised the usual due diligence with respect to determining title to properties in which it has a material interest, there is no guarantee that title to such properties will not be challenged or impugned.

Environmental Regulations, Permits and Licences

The Company's operations are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment, exploration, development, production, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, safety and other matters. Environmental legislation provides for restrictions and prohibitions on spills, releases or emissions of various substances produced in association with certain mining industry operations, such as seepage from tailings disposal areas, which would result in environmental pollution. A breach of such legislation may result in impositions of fines and penalties. In addition, certain types of operations require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments. Environmental legislation is evolving in a direction of stricter standards, and enforcement, and higher fines and penalties for non-responsibility for companies and directors, officers and employees. The cost of compliance with changes in governmental regulations has the potential to reduce the profitability for companies and directors, officers and employees. The cost of compliance with changes in governmental regulations has the potential to reduce the profitability of operations. The Company intends to fully comply with all environmental regulations.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations and, in particular, environmental laws.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact of the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or productions costs or reduction in levels of productions at producing properties or requirements abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Competition and Agreements with Other Parties

The mining industry is intensely competitive in all its phases. The Company competes with other companies that have greater financial resources and technical capacity. Competition could adversely affect the Company's ability to acquire suitable properties or prospects in the future.

The Company may, in the future, be unable to meet its share costs incurred under agreements to which it is a party and it may have its interest in the properties subject to such agreements reduced as a result. Also, if other parties to such agreements do not meet their share of such costs, the Company may not be able to finance the expenditures required to complete recommended programs.

Price Volatility of Public Stock

In recent years securities markets have experienced extremes in price and volume volatility. The market price of securities of many early stage companies, among others, have experienced fluctuations in price which may not necessarily be related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. It may be anticipated that any market for the Company's shares will be subject to market trends generally and the value of the Company's shares on the TSX Venture Exchange may be affected by such volatility.

Economic Conditions

Unfavourable economic conditions may negatively impact the Company's financial viability as a result of increased financing costs and limited access to capital markets.

Dependence on Management

The Company is very dependent upon the personal efforts and commitment of its existing management. To the extent that management's services would be unavailable for any reason, a disruption to the operations of the Company could result, and other persons would be required to manage and operate the Company.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Nine Months ended December 31, 2012

Conflicts of Interest

The Company's directors and officers may serve as directors and officers, or may be associated with other reporting companies or have significant shareholding in other public companies. To the extent that such other companies may participate in business or asset acquisitions, dispositions, or ventures in which the Company may participate, the directors and officers of the Company may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the transaction. If a conflict of interest arises, the Company will follow the provisions of the Business Corporations Act ("Corporations Act") in dealing with conflicts of interest. These provisions state that where a director/officer has such a conflict, the director must arrange a meeting of the board to disclose his interest and must refrain from voting on the matter unless otherwise permitted by the Corporations Act. In accordance with the laws of the Province of British Columbia, the directors and officers of the Company are required to act honestly, in good faith and in the best interests of the Company.

Approval

The Audit Committee has reviewed and approved the disclosure included in this MD&A. A copy of the interim and annual and MD&A's will be provided to anyone who requests it. Additional Information relating to the company can be found at the Company's website www.goldreachresources.com or www.sedar.com.